arXiv:0704.0502v1 [math.AT] 4 Apr 2007

GENERIC REPRESENTATIONS OF ORTHOGONAL GROUPS: PROJECTIVE FUNCTORS IN THE CATEGORY $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$

CHRISTINE VESPA

Abstract. In this paper, we continue the study of the category of functors $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, associated to $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces equipped with a nondegenerate quadratic form, initiated in [?] and [?]. We define a filtration of the standard projective objects in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$; this refines to give a decomposition into indecomposable factors of the two first standard projective objects in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$: P_{H_0} and P_{H_1}. As an application of these two decompositions, we give a complete description of the polynomial functors of the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 18A25, 16D90, 20C20.
Keywords: functor categories; quadratic forms over $\mathbb{F}_2$; Mackey functors; representations of orthogonal groups over $\mathbb{F}_2$.

Introduction

In the paper [?] we defined the category of functors $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ from a category having as objects the nondegenerate $\mathbb{F}_2$-quadratic spaces to the category $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces, where $\mathbb{F}_2$ is the field with two elements. The motivation for the construction of this category is to obtain an analogous framework for the orthogonal groups over $\mathbb{F}_2$, to that which exists for the general linear groups. We recall that the category $\mathcal{F}$ of functors from the category $\mathcal{E}^f$ of finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces to the category $\mathcal{E}$ of all $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces is a very useful tool for the study of the stable cohomology of the general linear groups with suitable coefficients (see [?]). Another motivation, in topology, for the study of the category $\mathcal{F}$ is the connection which exists between this category and unstable modules over the Steenrod algebra (see [?]). In order to have a good understanding of the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, we seek to classify its simple objects. We constructed in [?] two families of simple objects in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$. The first one is obtained by the fully-faithful, exact functor $\iota : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$, defined in [?], which preserves simple objects. By [?], the simple objects in $\mathcal{F}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the irreducible representations of finite general linear groups over $\mathbb{F}_2$. The second family is obtained by the fully-faithful, exact functor $\kappa : \mathcal{F}_{iso} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$, which preserves simple objects, where $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$ is equivalent to the product of the categories of modules over the orthogonal groups of possibly degenerate quadratic forms. In [?], we constructed two families of simple objects in the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ which are neither in the image of ι nor in the image of κ. These simple objects are subfunctors of the tensor product between an object in the image of ι and an object in the image of κ. We proved that these simple objects in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ are the composition factors of two particular mixed functors, defined in [?].

Date: February 1, 2008.

The aim of this paper is to begin a programme to obtain a complete classification of the simple objects in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$. Accordingly, we seek to decompose the projective generators of this category into indecomposable factors and to obtain the simple factors of these indecomposable factors. This paper begins the study of the standard projective objects in the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$. Although explicit decompositions of all the projective generators are not provided in this paper, we give several useful tools, results and examples for the realization of this programme. Furthermore, we deduce from the results contained in this paper several interesting consequences for the structure of the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$. In work in progress, we obtain a general decomposition of standard projective object P_H of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ which is indexed by the subspaces of H. Here we present explicit decompositions of the standard projective objects associated to "small" quadratic spaces, since these decompositions play a fundamental rôle in the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ (for example, for the description of the polynomial functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$). Furthermore, recall that the decompositions of the injective standard $I^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ of the category $\mathcal{F}$ and thus, by duality, that of the projective standard $P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$, is fundamental for the comprehension of the other injective standards of $\mathcal{F}$. Hence, the decompositions of the two smaller projective standard of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ represent an important step in the understanding of the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.

We briefly summarize the contents of this paper. After some recollections on the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, where we recall the definitions of the isotropic functors and the mixed functors, we define a filtration of the standard projective objects P_V in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$:

$$0 \subset P_V^{(0)} \subset P_V^{(1)} \subset \ldots \subset P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)} \subset P_V^{(\dim(V))} = P_V.$$

We obtain a general description of the two extremities of this filtration.

Theorem. *Let V be a nondegenerate $\mathbb{F}_2$-quadratic space.*

1. *There is a natural equivalence: $P_V^{(0)} \simeq \iota(P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(V)})$, where $\iota : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$, ϵ is the functor that forgets the quadratic form and $P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(V)}$ is the standard projective object in $\mathcal{F}$ associated to the vector space $\epsilon(V)$.*
2. *The functor $P_V^{(0)}$ is a direct summand of P_V.*

Proposition. *Let V be a nondegenerate $\mathbb{F}_2$-quadratic space, we have a natural equivalence:*

$$P_V / P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)} \simeq \kappa(\mathrm{iso}_V)$$

where $\kappa : \mathcal{F}_{iso} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$ and iso_V is an isotropic functor in $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$.

An important consequence of the Theorem concerning the functor $P_V^{(0)}$ is given in following result.

Theorem. *The category $\iota(\mathcal{F})$ is a thick subcategory of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.*

Then by an explicit study of the filtration of the functors P_{H_0} and P_{H_1} we obtain the following fundamental decompositions of these two standard projective functors.

Theorem.
1. *The standard projective object P_{H_0} admits the following decomposition:*

$$P_{H_0} = \iota(P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2}}) \oplus (\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}{}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}) \oplus \kappa(iso_{H_0})$$

where $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ and $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$ are two mixed functors and iso_{H_0} is an isotropic functor.

(2) *The standard projective object* P_{H_1} *admits the following decomposition:*

$$P_{H_1} = \iota(P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2}}) \oplus {\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}}^{\oplus 3} \oplus \kappa(iso_{H_1})$$

where $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$ *is a mixed functor and* iso_{H_1} *is an isotropic functor.*

These decompositions have several interesting consequences. Firstly, thanks to this theorem we can complete the study of the functors $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ and $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$ started in [**?**] by the following result.

Proposition. *The functors* $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ *and* $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$ *are indecomposable.*

We want to emphasize that the complete structure of the direct summands of the decompositions of P_{H_0} and P_{H_1} is understood. The structure of the isotropic functors is given in [**?**], those of the mixed functors $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ and $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$ is the main result of [**?**] and is completed by the previous proposition and those of $P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 2}}$ follows from [**?**]. Then, these decompositions give rise to a classification of the simple functors S of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ such that $S(H_0) \neq 0$ or $S(H_1) \neq 0$.

Proposition. *The isomorphism classes of non-constant simple functors of* $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ *such that either* $S(H_0) \neq 0$ *or* $S(H_1) \neq 0$ *are:*

$$\iota(\Lambda^1),\ \iota(\Lambda^2),\ \iota(S_{(2,1)}),\ \kappa(iso_{(x,0)}),\ \kappa(iso_{(x,1)}),\ R_{H_0},\ R_{H_1},\ S_{H_1}$$

where R_{H_0}, R_{H_1} *and* S_{H_1} *are the simple functors introduced in Corollary 1.7.*

These decompositions also allow us to derive some homological calculations in the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.

Proposition. *For* n *a natural number, we have:*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(R_{H_0}, R_{H_0}) \simeq \mathbb{F}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(R_{H_1}, R_{H_1}) \simeq \mathbb{F}_2$$

where R_{H_0} *and* R_{H_1} *are the simple functors introduced in Corollary 1.7.*

Finally, after having introduced the notion of polynomial functor for the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, which generalizes that for $\mathcal{F}$, we obtain the following result as an application of the classification of the simple functors S of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ such that $S(H_0) \neq 0$ or $S(H_1) \neq 0$ and of the thickness of the subcategory $\iota(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.

Theorem. *The polynomial functors of* $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ *are in the image of the functor* $\iota : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$.

Most of the results of this paper are contained in the Ph.D. thesis of the author [**?**].

1. The category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$: some recollections

We recall in this section some definitions and results about the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ obtained in [**?**].

Let $\mathcal{E}_q$ be the category having as objects finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces equipped with a non degenerate quadratic form and with morphisms linear maps that preserve the quadratic forms. By the classification of quadratic forms over the field $\mathbb{F}_2$ (see, for instance, [**?**]) we know that only spaces of even dimension can be nondegenerate and, for a fixed even dimension, there are two non-equivalent nondegenerate spaces, which are distinguished by the Arf invariant. We will denote by H_0 (resp. H_1) the nondegenerate quadratic space of dimension two such that $\mathrm{Arf}(H_0) = 0$ (resp. $\mathrm{Arf}(H_1) = 1$). The orthogonal sum of two nondegenerate quadratic spaces (V, q_V) and (W, q_W) is, by definition, the quadratic space $(V \oplus W, q_{V \oplus W})$ where $q_{V \oplus W}(v, w) = q_V(v) + q_W(w)$. Recall that the spaces $H_0 \perp H_0$ and $H_1 \perp H_1$ are isomorphic. Observe that the morphisms of $\mathcal{E}_q$ are injective linear maps and this category does not admit push-outs or pullbacks. There exists a pseudo push-out in $\mathcal{E}_q$ that allows us to generalize the construction of the category of co-spans of Bénabou [?] and thus to define the category $\mathcal{T}_q$ in which there exist retractions.

Definition 1.1. *The category $\mathcal{T}_q$ is the category having as objects those of $\mathcal{E}_q$ and, for V and W objects in $\mathcal{T}_q$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V, W)$ is the set of equivalence classes of diagrams in $\mathcal{E}_q$ of the form $V \xrightarrow{f} X \xleftarrow{g} W$ for the equivalence relation generated by the relation $\mathcal{R}$ defined as follows: $V \xrightarrow{f} X_1 \xleftarrow{g} W \quad \mathcal{R} \quad V \xrightarrow{u} X_2 \xleftarrow{v} W$ if there exists a morphism α of $\mathcal{E}_q$ such that $\alpha \circ f = u$ and $\alpha \circ g = v$. The composition is defined using the pseudo push-out. The morphism of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V, W)$ represented by the diagram $V \xrightarrow{f} X \xleftarrow{g} W$ will be denoted by $[V \xrightarrow{f} X \xleftarrow{g} W]$.*

Remark 1.2. *A morphism of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V, W)$ is represented by a diagram of the form: $V \xrightarrow{g} W \perp W' \xleftarrow{i_W} W$, where i_W is the canonical inclusion. In the following, we will use this representation of a morphism, without further comment.*

By definition, the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ is the category of functors from $\mathcal{T}_q$ to $\mathcal{E}$. Hence $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ is abelian and has enough projective objects. By the Yoneda lemma, for any object V of $\mathcal{T}_q$, the functor $P_V = \mathbb{F}_2[\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V, -)]$ is a projective object and there is a natural isomorphism: $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(P_V, F) \simeq F(V)$, for all objects F of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$. The set of functors $\{P_V | V \in \mathcal{S}\}$, named the standard projective objects in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, is a set of projective generators of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is a set of representatives of isometry classes of nondegenerate quadratic spaces.

There is a forgetful functor $\epsilon : \mathcal{T}_q \to \mathcal{E}^f$ in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, defined by $\epsilon(V) = \mathcal{O}(V)$ and

$$\epsilon([V \xrightarrow{f} W \perp W' \xleftarrow{g} W]) = p_g \circ \mathcal{O}(f)$$

where p_g is the orthogonal projection from $W \perp W'$ to W and $\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{E}_q \to \mathcal{E}^f$ is the functor which forgets the quadratic form. By the fullness of the functor ϵ and an argument of essential surjectivity, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. [?] *There is a functor $\iota : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$, which is exact, fully faithful and preserves simple objects.*

In order to define another subcategory of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, we consider the category $\mathcal{E}_q^{\mathrm{deg}}$ having as objects finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces equipped with a (possibly degenerate) quadratic form and with morphisms injective linear maps which preserve the quadratic forms. The category $\mathcal{E}_q^{\mathrm{deg}}$ admits pullbacks; consequently the category of spans $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{E}_q^{\mathrm{deg}})$ ([?]) is defined. By definition, the category $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$ is the category of functors from $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{E}_q^{\mathrm{deg}})$ to $\mathcal{E}$. As in the case of the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, the category $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$ is abelian and has enough projective objects: by the Yoneda lemma, for any object V of $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{E}_q^{\mathrm{deg}})$, the functor $Q_V = \mathbb{F}_2[\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{E}_q^{\mathrm{deg}})}(V, -)]$ is a projective object in $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$. We define a particular family of functors of $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$, the isotropic functors, which form a set of projective generators and injective cogenerators of $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$. The category $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$ is related to $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. [?] *There is a functor $\kappa : \mathcal{F}_{iso} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$, which is exact, fully-faithful and preserves simple objects.*

We obtain the classification of the simple objects of the category $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$ from the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. [**?**] *There is a natural equivalence of categories*

$$\mathcal{F}_{iso} \simeq \prod_{V \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{F}_2[O(V)] - mod$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ is a set of representatives of isometry classes of quadratic spaces (possibly degenerate) and $O(V)$ is the orthogonal group.

The object of $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$ which corresponds, by this equivalence, to the module $\mathbb{F}_2[O(V)]$ is the isotropic functor iso_V, defined in [**?**]. Recall that, as a vector space, $iso_V(W)$ is isomorphic to the subspace of $Q_V(W)$ generated by the elements $[V \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Id}} V \to W]$.

A straightforward consequence of the classification of simple objects of $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$ given in Theorem 1.5 is given in the following corollary. Recall that, by definition, an object F of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ is finite if it has a finite composition series with simple subquotients.

Corollary 1.6. *The isotropic functors $\kappa(iso_V)$ are finite in the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.*

In section 3, we will require the composition series for the isotropic functors associated to some small quadratic spaces. For $\alpha \in \{0,1\}$, let (x,α) be the degenerate quadratic space of dimension one generated by x such that $q(x) = \alpha$. Since the orthogonal groups $O(x,0)$ and $O(x,1)$ are trivial and $O(H_0) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_2$ and $O(H_1) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_3$, we deduce from Theorem 1.5 and 1.4, the following corollary.

Corollary 1.7.

1. *The functors $\kappa(iso_{(x,0)})$ and $\kappa(iso_{(x,1)})$ are simple in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.*
2. *The functor $\kappa(iso_{H_0})$ is indecomposable. We have the following non-split short exact sequence:*

   $$0 \to R_{H_0} \to \kappa(iso_{H_0}) \to R_{H_0} \to 0$$

   where R_{H_0} is the functor obtained from the trivial representation of $O(H_0)$.
3. *The functor $\kappa(iso_{H_1})$ admits the following decomposition:*

   $$\kappa(iso_{H_1}) = F_{H_1} \oplus (S_{H_1})^{\oplus 2}$$

   where S_{H_1} is the functor obtained from the natural representation of $O(H_1)$ and F_{H_1} is an indecomposable functor for which we have the following non-split short exact sequence:

   $$0 \to R_{H_1} \to F_{H_1} \to R_{H_1} \to 0$$

   where R_{H_1} is the functor obtained from the trivial representation of $O(H_1)$.

In [**?**], we define a new family of functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, named the mixed functors and we decompose two particular functors of this family: the functors $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ and $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$. We recall the following description of these functors.

Proposition 1.8. [**?**] *For $\alpha \in \{0,1\}$, the functors $\mathrm{Mix}_{\alpha,1} : \mathcal{T}_q \to \mathcal{E}$ are defined by*

$$\mathrm{Mix}_{\alpha,1}(V) = \mathbb{F}_2[S_V]$$

where $S_V = \{(v_1,v_2) \mid v_1 \in V, v_2 \in V,\ q(v_1+v_2) = \alpha,\ B(v_1,v_2) = 1\}$ and

$$\mathrm{Mix}_{\alpha,1}([V \xrightarrow{f} W \perp L \xleftarrow{i_W} W])[(v_1,v_2)] = \begin{cases} [(p_W \circ f(v_1), p_W \circ f(v_2))] & \text{if } f(v_1+v_2) \in W \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where p_W is the orthogonal projection.

In [?], for a positive integer n, we defined subfunctors L^n_α of $\iota(\Lambda^n) \otimes \kappa(iso_{(x,\alpha)})$, where Λ^n is the nth exterior power and we proved that these functors are simple. The functor L^1_α is equivalent to the functor $\kappa(iso_{(x,\alpha)})$. We obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.9. [?] *Let α be an element in $\{0,1\}$.*

1. *The functor $\mathrm{Mix}_{\alpha,1}$ is infinite.*
2. *There exists a subfunctor $\Sigma_{\alpha,1}$ of $\mathrm{Mix}_{\alpha,1}$ such that we have the following short exact sequence*
$$0 \to \Sigma_{\alpha,1} \to \mathrm{Mix}_{\alpha,1} \to \Sigma_{\alpha,1} \to 0.$$
3. *The functor $\Sigma_{\alpha,1}$ is uniserial with unique composition series given by the decreasing filtration given by the subfunctors $k_d\Sigma_{\alpha,1}$ of $\Sigma_{\alpha,1}$:*
$$\ldots \subset k_d\Sigma_{\alpha,1} \subset \ldots \subset k_1\Sigma_{\alpha,1} \subset k_0\Sigma_{\alpha,1} = \Sigma_{\alpha,1}.$$
   (a) *The head of $\Sigma_{\alpha,1}$ (i.e. $\Sigma_{\alpha,1}/k_1\Sigma_{\alpha,1}$) is isomorphic to the functor $\kappa(iso_{(x,\alpha)})$ where $iso_{(x,\alpha)}$ is a simple object in $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$.*
   (b) *For $d > 0$*
$$k_d\Sigma_{\alpha,1}/k_{d+1}\Sigma_{\alpha,1} \simeq L^{d+1}_\alpha$$
   where L^{d+1}_α is a simple object of the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ that is neither in the image of ι nor in the image of κ.
   The functor L^{d+1}_α is a subfunctor of $\iota(\Lambda^{d+1}) \otimes \kappa(iso_{(x,\alpha)})$, where Λ^{d+1} is the $(d+1)$st exterior power functor.

2. FILTRATION OF THE STANDARD PROJECTIVE FUNCTORS P_V OF $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$

In this section, we define a filtration of the standard projective functors P_V of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$. This construction gives rise to an essential tool to obtain, in section 3, the direct decompositions of the projective objects P_{H_0} and P_{H_1} of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, into indecomposable summands.

After defining this filtration, we will deduce general results about the projective P_V of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$. In Theorem 2.6 we prove that the rank zero part is a direct summand of P_V and we identify this functor. This result allows us to prove that $\iota(\mathcal{F})$ is a thick subcategory of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$. We will also show that the top quotient of this filtration is isomorphic to $\kappa(iso_V)$, where iso_V is the isotropic functor.

2.1. Definition of the filtration.

We recall that a morphism in $\mathcal{T}_q$ from V to W, where V and W are nondegenerate quadratic spaces, is represented by a diagram $V \to X \leftarrow W$.

Definition 2.1. *A morphism $[V \to X \leftarrow W]$ in $\mathcal{T}_q$ has rank equal to i if the pullback in $\mathcal{E}_q^{\deg}$ of the diagram $V \to X \leftarrow W$ is a quadratic space of dimension i.*

Notation 2.2. *We denote by $\mathrm{Hom}^{(i)}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V,W)$ the subset of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V,W)$ of morphisms of rank less than or equal to i.*

We have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.3. *For W an object in $\mathcal{T}_q$, the following subvector space of $P_V(W)$:*
$$P^{(i)}_V(W) = \mathbb{F}_2[\mathrm{Hom}^{(i)}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V,W)]$$
defines a subfunctor $P^{(i)}_V$ of P_V.

Proof. It is sufficient to verify that for all morphisms $f = [W \to Y \leftarrow Z]$ of $\mathcal{T}_q$ and $g = [V \to X \leftarrow W]$ of $\mathrm{Hom}^{(i)}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V, W)$, the composition $f \circ g$ has rank less than or equal to i. The composition $f \circ g$ is represented by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P' \arrow[rr] \arrow[d] & & Z \arrow[d] \\
P \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & W \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[d] \\
V \arrow[r] & X \arrow[r] & X \underset{W}{\perp} Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where P and P' are the pullbacks and $X \underset{W}{\perp} Y$ is the pseudo push-out defined in [**?**]. Consequently: $f \circ g = [V \to X \underset{W}{\perp} Y \leftarrow Z]$. Since $[V \to X \leftarrow W]$ is an element of $P^{(i)}_V(W)$, we know that the dimension of P is less than or equal to i. We deduce from the injectivity of the morphisms of $\mathcal{E}^{\deg}_q$, that P' has dimension smaller than or equal to i. ☐

The following lemma is a straightforward consequence of Definition 2.1.

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a natural equivalence:* $P_V^{(\dim(V))} \simeq P_V$.

We deduce the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *The functors* $P_V^{(i)}$, *for* $i = 0, \ldots, \dim(V)$, *define an increasing filtration of the functor* P_V.

Proof. The inclusion of vector spaces $P_V^{(i)}(W) \subset P_V^{(i+1)}(W)$ is clear, for W an object in $\mathcal{T}_q$. Consequently, $P_V^{(i)}$ is a subfunctor of $P_V^{(i+1)}$ by the proposition 2.3. ☐

2.2. **Extremities of the filtration.**

In the previous section, we have obtained, for all objects V of $\mathcal{T}_q$, the following filtration of the functor P_V:

$$0 \subset P_V^{(0)} \subset P_V^{(1)} \subset \ldots \subset P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)} \subset P_V^{(\dim(V))} = P_V.$$

The aim of this section is to study the two extremities of this filtration, namely, the functor $P_V^{(0)}$ and the quotient $P_V / P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)}$.

2.2.1. *The functor* $P_V^{(0)}$.

For V an object in $\mathcal{T}_q$, we recall that the functor $P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(V)}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ defined by $P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(V)}(-) = \mathbb{F}_2[\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}^f}(\epsilon(V), -)]$, where $\epsilon : \mathcal{T}_q \to \mathcal{E}^f$ is the forgetful functor of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, is projective, by the Yoneda lemma. The aim of this paragraph is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.6. *Let* V *be an object of* $\mathcal{T}_q$.

1. *There is a natural equivalence:* $P_V^{(0)} \simeq \iota(P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(V)})$, *where* $\iota : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$ *is the functor given in Theorem 1.3.*
2. *The functor* $P_V^{(0)}$ *is a direct summand of* P_V.

Before proving this result, we give the following useful characterization of the morphisms of rank zero, which is a straightforward consequence of the definition of the rank of a morphism.

Lemma 2.7. *Let V be an object in $\mathcal{T}_q$. A morphism $T = [V \xrightarrow{\alpha} W \perp W' \xleftarrow{i_W} W]$ has rank zero if and only if $p_{W'} \circ \alpha$ is an injective linear map, where $p_{W'}$ is the orthogonal projection from $W \perp W'$ to W'.*

For V and W objects in $\mathcal{T}_q$, the forgetful functor $\epsilon : \mathcal{T}_q \to \mathcal{E}^f$ gives rise to a map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V, W) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}^f}(\epsilon(V), \epsilon(W))$. By passage to the vector spaces freely generated by these sets and by functoriality of ϵ, we deduce the existence of a morphism from P_V to $\iota(P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(V)})$. As the functors $P^{(0)}_V$ are subfunctors of P_V, we obtain a morphism f from $P^{(0)}_V$ to $\iota(P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(V)})$. Consequently, to prove Theorem 2.6, it is sufficient to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.8. *The map $P^{(0)}_V(W) \xrightarrow{f_W} P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(V)}(\epsilon(W))$ is an isomorphism for V and W objects in $\mathcal{T}_q$.*

The surjectivity of f_W relies on the following lemma, which is an improved version of the fullness of the forgetful functor ϵ given in [**?**].

Lemma 2.9. *Let (V, q_V) and (W, q_W) be two objects of $\mathcal{T}_q$ and $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}^f}(\epsilon(V, q_V), \epsilon(W, q_W))$ a linear map, then there exists a morphism $T = [V \xrightarrow{\varphi} W \perp Y \xleftarrow{i_W} W]$ of rank zero such that $\epsilon(T) = f$.*

Proof. As the quadratic space V is nondegenerate, we know that it has even dimension. We write $\dim(V) = 2n$. We prove the result by induction on n.

To start the induction, let (V, q_V) be a nondegenerate quadratic space of dimension two, with symplectic basis $\{a, b\}$ and $f : V \to W$ be a linear map. The following linear map preserves the quadratic form:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} g_1 : & V & \to & W \perp H_1 \perp H_0 \perp H_0 \simeq W \perp \mathrm{Span}(a_1, b_1) \perp \mathrm{Span}(a_0, b_0) \perp \mathrm{Span}(a'_0, b'_0) \\ & a & \longmapsto & f(a) + (q(a) + q(f(a)))a_1 + a_0 \\ & b & \longmapsto & f(b) + (q(b) + q(f(a)))a_1 + (1 + B(f(a), f(b)))b_0 + a'_0. \end{array}$$

Consequently, the morphism: $T = V \xrightarrow{g_1} W \perp H_1 \perp H_0 \hookleftarrow W$, is a morphism of rank zero of $\mathcal{T}_q$ such that $\epsilon(T) = f$.

Let V_n be a nondegenerate quadratic space of dimension $2n$, $\{a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_n, b_n\}$ be a symplectic basis of V_n and $f_n : V_n \to W$ be a linear map. By induction, there exists a map:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} g_n : & V_n & \to & W \perp Y \\ & a_i & \longmapsto & f_n(a_i) + y_i \\ & b_i & \longmapsto & f_n(b_i) + z_i \end{array}$$

where y_i and z_i, for all integers i between 1 and n, are elements of Y. The map g_n preserves the quadratic form and the morphism $T = [V_n \xrightarrow{g_n} W \perp Y \hookleftarrow W]$ is of rank zero and verifies $\epsilon([V_n \xrightarrow{g_n} W \perp Y \hookleftarrow W]) = f_n$.

Let V_{n+1} be a nondegenerate quadratic space of dimension $2(n+1)$, $\{a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_n, b_n, a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}\}$ a symplectic basis of V_{n+1} and $f_{n+1} : V_{n+1} \to W$ a linear map. To define the map g_{n+1}, we will consider the restriction of f_{n+1} to V_n and extend the map g_n given by the inductive assumption. For that, we need the following space: $E \simeq W \perp W' \perp H_0^{\perp n} \perp H_0^{\perp n} \perp H_1 \perp H_0 \perp H_0$ for which we specify the notations for a basis:

$$\begin{aligned} E \simeq W \perp W' \perp (\perp_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Span}(a_0^i, b_0^i)) \perp (\perp_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Span}(A_0^i, B_0^i)) \perp \mathrm{Span}(A_1, B_1) \\ \perp \mathrm{Span}(C_0, D_0) \perp \mathrm{Span}(E_0, F_0). \end{aligned}$$

The following map:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} g_{n+1}: & V & \rightarrow & W\perp W'\perp H_0^{\perp n}\perp H_0^{\perp n}\perp H_1\perp H_0\perp H_0 \\ & a_i & \longmapsto & f_{n+1}(a_i)+y_i+a_0^i \quad \text{for } i \text{ between 1 and } n \\ & b_i & \longmapsto & f_{n+1}(b_i)+z_i+A_0^i \\ & a_{n+1} & \longmapsto & f_{n+1}(a_{n+1})+(q(a_{n+1})+q(f_{n+1}(a_{n+1})))A_1+C_0 \\ & & & +\sum_{i=1}^n B(f_{n+1}(a_i),f_{n+1}(a_{n+1}))b_0^i \\ & & & +\sum_{i=1}^n B(f_{n+1}(b_i),f_{n+1}(a_{n+1}))B_0^i \\ & b_{n+1} & \longmapsto & f_{n+1}(b_{n+1})+(q(b_{n+1})+q(f_{n+1}(b_{n+1})))A_1 \\ & & & +(1+B(f_{n+1}(a_{n+1}),f_{n+1}(b_{n+1})))D_0 \\ & & & +\sum_{i=1}^n B(f_{n+1}(a_i),f_{n+1}(b_{n+1}))b_0^i \\ & & & +\sum_{i=1}^n B(f_{n+1}(b_i),f_{n+1}(b_{n+1}))B_0^i+E_0 \end{array}$$

preserves the quadratic form. Furthermore, the morphism

$$T=[V_{n+1}\xrightarrow{g_{n+1}} W\perp W'\perp H_0^{\perp n}\perp H_0^{\perp n}\perp H_1\perp H_0\perp H_0 \hookleftarrow W]$$

is of rank zero and satisfies: $\epsilon(T)=f_{n+1}$, which completes the inductive step.

$\square$

The proof of the injectivity of f_W relies on the following result, which can be regarded as Witt's theorem for degenerate quadratic forms.

Theorem 2.10. *Let V be a nondegenerate quadratic space, D and D' subquadratic spaces (possibly degenerate) of V and $\underline{f}: D\to D'$ an isometry between these two quadratic spaces. Then, there exists an isometry $f: V\to V$ such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} V \arrow[r, "f"] & V \\ D \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r, "\underline{f}"'] & D'. \arrow[u, hook] \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. For a proof of this result, we refer the reader to [**?**] §4, theorem 1. $\square$

Proof of the injectivity of f_W. The natural map f is induced by the natural map

$$\mathrm{Hom}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V,-)\to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}^f}(\epsilon(V),\epsilon(-))$$

by passage to the vector spaces freely generated by these sets. So, f is injective if and only if this natural map is injective. Consequently, it is sufficient to verify that, for $T=[V\xrightarrow{\alpha} W\perp W' \xleftarrow{i_W} W]$ and $T'=[V\xrightarrow{\alpha'} W\perp W'' \xleftarrow{i'_W} W]$ two generators of $P_V^{(0)}(W)$ such that

$$p_W\circ\mathcal{O}(\alpha)=p'_W\circ\mathcal{O}(\alpha'), \tag{2.10.1}$$

we have $T=T'$.

Let $\{a_1,b_1,\dots,a_n,b_n\}$ be a symplectic basis of V. We deduce from 2.10.1 that, for all $i\in\{1,\dots,n\}$, we have:

$$\alpha(a_i)=w_i+w'_i,\ \alpha(b_i)=x_i+x'_i \tag{2.10.2}$$

and

$$\alpha'(a_i)=w_i+w''_i,\ \alpha'(b_i)=x_i+x''_i \tag{2.10.3}$$

where, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, w_i and x_i are in W, w'_i and x'_i are in W' and x''_i and w''_i are in W''. By Lemma 2.7, since the morphisms are of rank zero, $\{w'_1, x'_1, \dots, w'_n, x'_n\}$ and $\{w''_1, x''_1, \dots, w''_n, x''_n\}$ are two linearly independent families of vectors.

We will denote by $\underline{W'} = \mathrm{Span}(w'_1, x'_1, \dots, w'_n, x'_n)$ (respectively $\underline{W''} = \mathrm{Span}(w''_1, x''_1, \dots, w''_n, x''_n)$) the subquadratic space (possibly degenerate), of W' (respectively W'') and we define the linear map $\underline{f} : \underline{W'} \to \underline{W''}$ by $\underline{f}(w'_i) = w''_i$ and $\underline{f}(x'_i) = x''_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Since α and α' preserve the quadratic forms, we deduce from the relations 2.10.2 and 2.10.3 that $\underline{f}$ preserves the quadratic form. Hence, we can apply Theorem 2.10 to the nondegenerate space $W' \perp W''$, which gives a morphism $f : W' \perp W'' \to W' \perp W''$ of $\mathcal{E}_q$, such that, the restriction of this morphism to W' coincides with $\underline{f}$. We deduce the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& W \arrow[d, hook, "i_W"] \arrow[rdd, hook, "i'_W"] & \\
V \arrow[r, "\tilde{\alpha}"] \arrow[rrd, "\tilde{\alpha'}"'] & W \perp (W' \perp W'') \arrow[rd, "\mathrm{Id} \perp f"] & \\
& & W \perp (W' \perp W'')
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} = i_{W \perp W'} \circ \alpha$ and $\tilde{\alpha'} = i_{W \perp W''} \circ \alpha'$. Consequently, we obtain the equality $T = T'$ since, by inclusion, we have

$$T = [V \xrightarrow{\alpha} W \perp W' \xleftarrow{i_W} W] = [V \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} W \perp W' \perp W'' \xleftarrow{i_W} W]$$

and

$$T' = [V \xrightarrow{\alpha'} W \perp W'' \xleftarrow{i'_W} W] = [V \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha'}} W \perp W' \perp W'' \xleftarrow{i'_W} W].$$

□

Notation 2.11. *For V and W two objects of $\mathcal{E}_q$, and f a morphism of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}^f}(\epsilon(V), \epsilon(W))$, we denote by t_f the morphism of $\mathrm{Hom}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V, W)$ corresponding to f and by $[t_f]$ the canonical generator of $P^{(0)}_V(W)$ obtained from t_f. To simplify the notation, we will denote the morphism t_{Id_V} of $\mathrm{Hom}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V, V)$ by e_V.*

We deduce from the first point of Theorem 2.6 the following corollary.

Corollary 2.12. *For V, W and X objects of $\mathcal{E}_q$, $f : \epsilon(W) \to \epsilon(X)$ and $g : \epsilon(V) \to \epsilon(W)$ morphisms of $\mathcal{E}^f$, we have:*

$$t_f \circ t_g = t_{f \circ g}$$

where t_f, t_g and $t_{f \circ g}$ are respectively the morphisms of $\mathrm{Hom}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(W, X)$, $\mathrm{Hom}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V, W)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}^{(0)}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V, X)$ associated to the linear maps f, g and $f \circ g$.

We can apply this result to the idempotents of the ring of endomorphisms $\mathrm{End}(P_V)$, to obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.13. *The canonical generator $[e_V]$ of $P^{(0)}_V$ is an idempotent of the ring of endomorphisms $\mathrm{End}(P_V)$ such that $P_V.[e_V] \simeq P^{(0)}_V$.*

Proof. The canonical generator $[e_V]$ is an idempotent of $\mathrm{End}(P_V)$ by Corollary 2.12. By definition of the rank filtration $P_V.[e_V] \subset P_V^{(0)}$ and, for a canonical generator $[t_f]$ of $P_V^{(0)}$, we have $[t_f] = [t_f] \cdot [e_V]$. □

The idempotent $[e_V]$ plays a central rôle in the proof of the thickness of the subcategory $\iota(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, which is the subject of the following paragraph. For that, the following result is necessary.

Lemma 2.14. *Let V and W be objects of $\mathcal{T}_q$, the functor ι induces an isomorphism:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(V)}, P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(W)}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(P_V^{(0)}, P_W^{(0)}),$$

where $\epsilon : \mathcal{T}_q \to \mathcal{E}$ is the forgetful functor.

Proof. By Proposition 2.13 and Theorem 2.6 we have the following equivalences:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(P_V^{(0)}, P_W^{(0)}) \simeq P_W^{(0)}(e_V)P_W^{(0)}(V) \simeq P_W^{(0)}(V)$$

$$\simeq \iota(P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(W)})(V) \simeq P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(W)}(\epsilon(V)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(V)}, P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(W)}).$$

□

To conclude this paragraph, we give the following property of e_V which will be useful in section 4 concerning the polynomial functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.

Lemma 2.15. *For V and W two objects of $\mathcal{T}_q$, we have: $e_{V\perp W} = e_V \perp e_W$, where $\perp : \mathcal{T}_q \times \mathcal{T}_q \to \mathcal{T}_q$ is the functor induced by the orthogonal sum.*

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 2.8. □

2.2.2. *The category $\iota(\mathcal{F})$ is a thick subcategory of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.* The aim of this paragraph is to prove the following result.

Theorem 2.16. *The category $\iota(\mathcal{F})$ is a thick subcategory of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, where $\iota : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$ is the functor defined in Theorem 1.3.*

To prove this theorem, we need the following general result about the precomposition functor which is proved in the Appendix of [**?**].

Proposition 2.17. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be two small categories, $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category, $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a functor and $- \circ F : \mathrm{Func}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{Func}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ be the precomposition functor, where* $\mathrm{Func}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ *is the category of functors from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{A}$. If F is full and essentially surjective, then any subobject (respectively quotient) of an object in the image of the precomposition functor is isomorphic to an object in the image of the precomposition functor.*

Proof of Theorem 2.16.

- The subcategory $\iota(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ is full by Theorem 1.3.
- Let $F^{\mathcal{F}}$ be an object in $\mathcal{F}$ and G a subobject of $\iota(F^{\mathcal{F}})$. Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be the category of functors from $\mathcal{E}^{f-(even)}$ to $\mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E}^{f-(even)}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{E}^f$ having as objects the $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces of even dimension. The categories $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ are equivalent [**?**]. The functor $\epsilon : \mathcal{E}_q \to \mathcal{E}^f$ factorizes through the inclusion $\mathcal{E}^{f-(even)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^f$. This induces a functor $\epsilon' : \mathcal{E}_q \to \mathcal{E}^{f-(even)}$ which is full and essentially surjective. Consequently, we can use Proposition 2.17 to obtain: $G \simeq \iota(G^{\mathcal{F}})$. Similarly, we obtain the result for the quotient.

- Let $G^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $H^{\mathcal{F}}$ be objects of $\mathcal{F}$, we set $G=\iota(G^{\mathcal{F}})$ and $H=\iota(H^{\mathcal{F}})$. For a short exact sequence: $0\to G\to F\to H\to 0$, we have to prove that there exists a functor $F^{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ such that $F=\iota(F^{\mathcal{F}})$.

  Let $P_1\to P_0\to G^{\mathcal{F}}\to 0$ and $Q_1\to Q_0\to H^{\mathcal{F}}\to 0$ be projective presentations of $G^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $H^{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\mathcal{F}$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \iota(P_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \iota(P_1)\oplus\iota(Q_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \iota(Q_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \iota(P_0) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \iota(P_0)\oplus\iota(Q_0) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \iota(Q_0) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

  where the columns are projective resolutions in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, by the horseshoe lemma. By Lemma 2.14, the morphism $\iota(P_1)\oplus\iota(Q_1)\to\iota(P_0)\oplus\iota(Q_0)$ is induced by a morphism of $\mathcal{F}$ denoted by f. Consequently, $F\cong\iota(\mathrm{Coker}(f))\in\iota(\mathcal{F})$.

□

By Theorem 2.16, we deduce from Lemma 2.14, the following characterization of the simple functors of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ which will be used in section 4 of this paper concerning the polynomial functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.

Lemma 2.18. (1) *Let F be a functor of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, then F is in the image of the functor $\iota:\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ if and only if, for all objects V in $\mathcal{T}_q$,*

$$F(e_V)F(V)=F(V).$$

(2) *Let S be a simple object in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, then S is in the image of the functor $\iota:\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ if and only if there exists an object W in $\mathcal{T}_q$ such that*

$$S(e_W)S(W)\neq 0.$$

Proof. (1) The forward implication is a consequence of the following fact: for a functor F in the image of ι, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(P_V^{(0)},F)=F(e_V)F(V)=F(V)$. The reverse implication relies on the fact that the condition $F(e_V)F(V)=F(V)$ implies that F is a quotient of a sum of projective objects of the form $P_V^{(0)}$. Since the category $\iota(\mathcal{F})$ is thick in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ by Theorem 2.16, we obtain the result.

(2) Observe that, if $S(e_W)S(W)\neq 0$, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(P_W^{(0)},S)\neq 0$, thus S is a quotient of $P_W^{(0)}$ by simplicity of S. Lemma 2.14 implies that there exists a one-to-one correspondance between the indecomposable factors of $P_V^{(0)}$ and those of $P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(V)}$. We deduce that the simple quotients of $P_V^{(0)}$ arise from $\mathcal{F}$. Consequently, S is in the image of the functor ι.

□

2.2.3. *The quotient* $P_V/P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)}$. The aim of this paragraph is to prove the following result:

Proposition 2.19. *Let V be an object in $\mathcal{T}_q$, we have a natural equivalence:*

$$P_V/P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)} \simeq \kappa(\mathrm{iso}_V)$$

where iso_V is an isotropic functor and $\kappa : \mathcal{F}_{iso} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$ is the functor given in Theorem 1.4.

To prove this proposition, we need the following notation and result:

Notation 2.20. *Denote by σ_f the natural map $P_V \xrightarrow{\sigma_f} \kappa(\mathrm{iso}_V)$ which corresponds to the canonical generator $[V \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Id}} V \xrightarrow{f} V]$ of $\mathrm{iso}_V(V)$ by the equivalence $\mathrm{Hom}(P_V, \kappa(\mathrm{iso}_V)) \simeq \mathrm{iso}_V(V) \simeq \mathbb{F}_2[O(V)]$ given by the Yoneda lemma.*

Lemma 2.21. *The functor $\kappa(\mathrm{iso}_V)$ of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ is a quotient of the functor $P_V = \mathbb{F}_2[\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V,-)]$.*

Proof. The natural map $P_V \xrightarrow{\sigma_f} \kappa(\mathrm{iso}_V)$ is surjective: a pre-image of the canonical generator $[V \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Id}} V \xrightarrow{g} W]$ of $\kappa(\mathrm{iso}_V)(W)$ by $(\sigma_f)_W$, is the morphism $[V \xrightarrow{g\circ f^{-1}} W \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Id}} W]$. □

A formal consequence of the previous lemma is given in the following result.

Lemma 2.22. *The functor $\kappa(\mathrm{iso}_V)$ of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ is a quotient of the functor $P_V/P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)}$.*

Proof. By definition of the filtration and by the previous lemma, we have the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)} \arrow[r, "i"] & P_V \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, "\sigma_{\mathrm{Id}}"] & P_V/P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)} \arrow[r] \arrow[dl, dotted, two heads, "\tau"] & 0 \\
 & & \kappa(\mathrm{iso}_V) & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i is the canonical inclusion of $P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)}$ in P_V. By definition of σ_{Id}, we have $\sigma_{\mathrm{Id}} \circ i = 0$, from which we deduce the existence of the surjection $\tau : P_V/P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)} \to \kappa(\mathrm{iso}_V)$. □

We will prove below that this natural map is an isomorphism. It is sufficient to prove the following result.

Proposition 2.23. *For V and W two objects of $\mathcal{T}_q$, we have an isomorphism*

$$(P_V/P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)})(W) \simeq \kappa(\mathrm{iso}_V)(W).$$

The proof of this proposition relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 2.24. *For a non-zero canonical generator of $(P_V/P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)})(W)$ represented by the morphism $T = [V \xrightarrow{g} W \perp W' \xleftarrow{i_W} W]$ of $\mathcal{T}_q$, we have $g(V) \subset W$ and $T = [V \xrightarrow{f} W \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Id}} W]$, where $g = i_W \circ f$.*

Proof. By definition of the filtration, for V and W two objects of $\mathcal{T}_q$, the vector space $(P_V/P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)})(W)$ is generated by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}^{[\dim(V)]}(V,W)$ where $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}^{[\dim(V)]}(V,W)$ is the set of morphisms from V to W whose the pullback D in $\mathcal{E}_q^{\deg}$ is a quadratic space such that $\dim(D)=\dim(V)$. We deduce from the existence of a monomorphism from D to V and from the equality of the dimensions, that D and V are isometric. Consequently, for the morphism T of the statement, we have, by definition of the pullback, $g(V)\subset W$. Thus, we have $T=[V\xrightarrow{f}W\xleftarrow{\mathrm{Id}}W]$, where $g=i_W\circ f$, by the equivalence relation defined over the morphisms of $\mathcal{T}_q$ in Definition 1.1.

□

Proof of Proposition 2.23. The natural map τ obtained in the proof of Lemma 2.22 defines, for W an object in $\mathcal{T}_q$, the linear map

$$\begin{array}{cccc}\tau_W: & (P_V/P_V^{(\dim(V)-1)})(W) & \to & \kappa(\mathrm{iso}_V)(W)\\ & T=[V\xrightarrow{f}W\xleftarrow{\mathrm{Id}}W] & \longmapsto & [V\xleftarrow{\mathrm{Id}}V\xrightarrow{f}W]\end{array}$$

which is clearly an isomorphism. □

3. DECOMPOSITION OF THE STANDARD PROJECTIVE FUNCTORS P_{H_0} AND P_{H_1}

On abelian categories, the decompositions into direct summands of a functor F of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ correspond to decompositions into orthogonal idempotents of 1 in the ring $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(F)$ (see for example [?]). One of the difficulties of the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ lies in the fact that the rings of endomorphisms of projectives P_V and their representations are not well-understood. The decompositions of projectives P_V, obtained in work in preparation, using a refinement of the rank filtration will allow us to understand the structure of these rings better.

In this section, we obtain the decompositions into indecomposable factors of the projective objects P_{H_0} and P_{H_1} by an explicit study of the filtration defined in section 2. This section concludes by several consequences of these decompositions. In particular, we give a classification of the "small" simple functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, which is an essential ingredient in the following section about the polynomial functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.

3.1. Decomposition of P_{H_0}.

To obtain the decomposition of the functor P_{H_0} into indecomposable factors, we give an explicit description of the subquotients of the filtration; then, we prove that the filtration splits for this functor and we identify the factors of this decomposition.

3.1.1. *Explicit description of the subquotients of the filtration.* The aim of this paragraph is to give a basis of the vector spaces $P_{H_0}^{(0)}(V)$, $P_{H_0}^{(1)}/P_{H_0}^{(0)}(V)$ and $P_{H_0}/P_{H_0}^{(1)}(V)$ for V a given object in $\mathcal{T}_q$.

We deduce from Theorem 2.6 and Notation 2.11, the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *A basis $\mathcal{B}_{H_0}^{(0)}$ of $P_{H_0}^{(0)}(V)$ is given by the set:*

$$\mathcal{B}_{H_0}^{(0)}=\{[t_f]\text{ for }f\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}^f}(\mathbb{F}_2{}^{\oplus 2},\epsilon(V))\}.$$

By definition of the filtration, a canonical generator of $P_{H_0}^{(1)}/P_{H_0}^{(0)}(V)$, represented by the morphism $T=[H_0\xrightarrow{f}V\perp L\xleftarrow{i_V}V]$ of $\mathcal{T}_q$, satisfies the following property: $I=f(H_0)\cap i(V)$ is a quadratic space of dimension one.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $T = [H_0 \xrightarrow{f} V\perp L \xleftarrow{i_V} V]$ *be a morphism of* $\mathcal{T}_q$ *which represents a canonical generator of* $P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}(V)$*, and* $\{a_0, b_0\}$ *be a symplectic basis of* H_0*, then the map* f *in* T *has one of the three following forms.*

(1) *If* $I = (f(a_0), 0)$*, the map* $f : H_0 \to V\perp L$ *is defined by:*

$$f(a_0) = v \qquad \text{and} \qquad f(b_0) = w + l$$

for v *and* w *elements of* V *satisfying* $q(v) = 0$ *and* $B(v, w) = 1$ *and* l *a non-zero element of* L.

(2) *If* $I = (f(b_0), 0)$*, the map* $f : H_0 \to V\perp L$ *is defined by:*

$$f(a_0) = v + l \qquad \text{and} \qquad f(b_0) = w$$

for v *and* w *elements of* V *satisfying* $q(w) = 0$ *and* $B(v, w) = 1$ *and* l *a non-zero element of* L.

(3) *If* $I = (f(a_0 + b_0), 1)$*, the map* $f : H_0 \to V\perp L$ *is defined by:*

$$f(a_0) = v + l \qquad \text{and} \qquad f(b_0) = w + l$$

for v *and* w *elements of* V *satisfying* $q(v + w) = 1$ *and* $B(v, w) = 1$ *and* l *a non-zero element of* L.

Proof. The quadratic space H_0 has three subspaces of dimension one which are: $\mathrm{Span}(a_0)$ and $\mathrm{Span}(b_0)$ isometric to $(x, 0)$ and $\mathrm{Span}(a_0 + b_0)$ isometric to $(x, 1)$. These three subspaces give rise to each one of the maps f defined in the statement. □

Notation 3.3. *The morphisms* $[H_0 \xrightarrow{f} V\perp L \xleftarrow{i_V} V]$*, where* f *is one of the morphisms described in the point* (1) *(respectively* (2) *and* (3)*) of the previous lemma, will be known as type A (respectively B and C) morphisms.*

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *For* $T = [H_0 \xrightarrow{f} V\perp L \xleftarrow{i_V} V]$ *and* $T' = [H_0 \xrightarrow{f'} V\perp L' \xleftarrow{i'_V} V]$ *morphisms of* $\mathcal{T}_q$ *which represent canonical generators of* $P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}(V)$*, the following properties are equivalent.*

(1) *The morphisms* T *and* T' *of* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(H_0, V)$ *have the same type and satisfy the relation* $p_V \circ f = p'_V \circ f'$.
(2) *The morphisms* T *and* T' *of* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(H_0, V)$ *are equal.*

The proof of the implication (2) ⇒ (1) relies on the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $T = [V \xrightarrow{g} X \xleftarrow{h} W]$ *and* $T' = [V \xrightarrow{g'} X' \xleftarrow{h'} W']$ *be morphisms of* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V, W)$*. If* $T = T'$*, then* $g(V) + h(W) \simeq g'(V) + h'(W)$ *in* $\mathcal{E}_q^{\deg}$.

Proof. By definition of the equivalence relation given in Definition 1.1, it is sufficient to prove that, for two morphisms T and T' such that $T\mathcal{R}T'$, we have $g(V)+h(W) \simeq g'(V) + h'(W)$.

By definition, $g(V)+h(W)$ is the smallest, possibly degenerate, quadratic space such that we have a commutative diagram in $\mathcal{E}_q^{\text{deg}}$, of the form:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& W \arrow[d, "\beta"'] \arrow[ddr, "h"] & \\
V \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[drr, "g"'] & g(V)+h(W) \arrow[dr, "\gamma"] & \\
& & X.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Similarly, $g'(V)+h'(W)$ is the smallest quadratic space such that we have an analogous commutative diagram. By definition of the relation $\mathcal{R}$, we have the existence of a morphism δ in $\mathcal{E}_q$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& W \arrow[d, "h"'] \arrow[ddr, "h'"] & \\
V \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[drr, "g'"'] & X \arrow[dr, "\delta"] & \\
& & X'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By the consideration of the following commutative diagram in $\mathcal{E}_q^{\text{deg}}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& W \arrow[d, "\beta"'] \arrow[ddr, "h"] \arrow[dddrr, "h'"] & & \\
V \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[drr, "g"] \arrow[ddrrr, "g'"'] & Y \arrow[dr] & & \\
& & X \arrow[dr, "\delta"] & \\
& & & X'
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $Y = g(V)+h(W)$, we deduce from the minimality of $g'(V)+h'(W)$, the existence of a morphism in $\mathcal{E}_q^{\text{deg}}$ from $g'(V)+h'(W)$ to $g(V)+h(W)$ such that the corresponding diagram is commutative. Then, by minimality of $g(V)+h(W)$ for T, we obtain: $g(V)+h(W) \simeq g'(V)+h'(W)$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.4. Suppose that the morphisms T and T' of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(H_0, V)$ are of type A such that $p_V \circ f = p'_V \circ f'$. We deduce that:

$$f(a_0) = v;\ f(b_0) = w + l \text{ and } f'(a_0) = v;\ f'(b_0) = w + l'$$

for v and w elements of V and l (resp. l') a non-zero element of L (resp. L').

Since the maps f and f' preserve the quadratic forms, we have $q(b_0) = q(w) + q(l) = q(w) + q(l')$. We deduce that $q(l) = q(l')$, thus the map $\mathrm{Span}(l) \xrightarrow{\underline{\alpha}} \mathrm{Span}(l')$, such that $\underline{\alpha}(l) = l'$, preserves the quadratic form. Consequently, we can apply Theorem 2.10 to obtain the existence of a map $\alpha : L \perp L' \to$

$L\perp L'$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L\perp L' \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & L\perp L' \\
\mathrm{Span}(l) \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r, "\underline{\alpha}"'] & \mathrm{Span}(l'). \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}$$

We deduce the commutativity of the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& V \arrow[d, hook, "i_V"] \arrow[ddr, hook, "i'_V"] & \\
H_0 \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[drr, "f'"'] & V\perp(L\perp L') \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Id}\perp\alpha"] & \\
& & V\perp(L\perp L').
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $T=[H_0 \xrightarrow{f} V\perp L\perp L' \xleftarrow{i_V} V]$ and $T'=[H_0 \xrightarrow{f'} V\perp L\perp L' \xleftarrow{i'_V} V]$, by inclusion, we deduce from the previous diagram that $T=T'$.

We reason in the same way, for the morphisms of type B and C.

Conversely, if $T=T'$, by Lemma 3.5 $f(H_0)+i_V(V)\simeq f'(H_0)+i'_V(V)$. Consequently we deduce from Theorem 2.10 the existence of an isometry $\beta : V\perp L\perp L' \to V\perp L\perp L'$ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V\perp L\perp L' \arrow[r, "\beta"] & V\perp L\perp L' \\
f(H_0)+i_V(V) \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r, "\simeq"'] & f'(H_0)+i'_V(V). \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}$$

This yields the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& V \arrow[d, hook, "i_V"] \arrow[ddr, hook, "i'_V"] & \\
H_0 \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[drr, "f'"'] & V\perp L\perp L' \arrow[dr, "\beta"] & \\
& & V\perp L\perp L'
\end{tikzcd}$$

which implies that $\beta\circ i_V = i'_V$. Thus, $\beta=\mathrm{Id}_V\perp\beta'$ where $\beta' : L\perp L' \to L\perp L'$ is a morphism of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_q}(L\perp L', L\perp L')$. Consequently, we have

$$f(a_0)=v+l;\ f(b_0)=w+l' \text{ and } f'(a_0)=v+\beta'(l);\ f'(b_0)=w+\beta'(l')$$

and we deduce that $p_V\circ f = p'_V$. Furthermore, since β' is inversible, for all x in $L\perp L'$ we have: x is non-zero if and only if $\beta'(x)$ is non-zero. Consequently, T and T' have the same type. $\square$

This proposition justifies the following notation.

Notation 3.6. *We will denote by $A_{v,w}$, $B_{v,w}$ and $C_{v,w}$ the morphisms of* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(H_0,V)$ *respectively of type A, B and C and such that $p_V\circ f(a_0)=v$ and $p_V\circ f(b_0)=w$.*

The following result is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.4.

Lemma 3.7. *A basis* $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}_{H_0}$ *of* $P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}(V)$ *is given by the set:*

$$\begin{array}{rl}\mathcal{B}^{(1)}_{H_0}=\{ & [A_{v,w}] \text{ for } v \text{ and } w \text{ elements of } V \text{ satisfying } q(v)=0 \text{ and } B(v,w)=1,\\ & [B_{v,w}] \text{ for } v \text{ and } w \text{ elements of } V \text{ satisfying } q(w)=0 \text{ and } B(v,w)=1,\\ & [C_{v,w}] \text{ for } v \text{ and } w \text{ elements of } V \text{ satisfying } q(v+w)=1 \text{ and } B(v,w)=1\}\end{array}$$

By Proposition 2.19, we have $(P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0})(V)\simeq\kappa(\mathrm{iso}_{H_0})(V)$. We deduce the following result.

Lemma 3.8. *A basis* $\mathcal{B}^{(2)}_{H_0}$ *of* $P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}(V)$ *is given by the set:*

$$\mathcal{B}^{(2)}_{H_0}=\{[D_f] \text{ for } f\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_q}(H_0,V)\},$$

where D_f *is the morphism of* $\mathcal{T}_q$ *represented by the diagram:* $H_0\xrightarrow{f}V\xleftarrow{\mathrm{Id}}V$.

We end this paragraph by the rules of composition for the morphisms t_f, $A_{v,w}$, $B_{v,w}$, $C_{v,w}$ and D_f, summarized in the following proposition. This technical result will be fundamental in the following paragraph, to prove the splitting of the filtration.

Lemma 3.9. *Let* $T=V\xrightarrow{\varphi}W\perp L\xleftarrow{i_W}W$ *be a morphism of* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V,W)$. *The following relations are satisfied:*

(1) *For* f *a morphism of* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}^f}({\mathbb{F}_2}^{\oplus 2},\epsilon(V))$ *we have:*

$$T\circ t_f=t_{\varphi\circ f}.$$

(2) (a) *For* v *and* w *elements of* V *satisfying* $q(v)=0$ *and* $B(v,w)=1$, *we have:*

$$T\circ A_{v,w}=\begin{cases}A_{\varphi(v),p_W\circ\varphi(w)} & \text{if } \varphi(v)\in W\\ t_{p_W\circ(\varphi\perp\mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha} & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

(b) *For* v *and* w *elements of* V *satisfying* $q(w)=0$ *and* $B(v,w)=1$, *we have:*

$$T\circ B_{v,w}=\begin{cases}B_{p_W\circ\varphi(v),\varphi(w)} & \text{if } \varphi(w)\in W\\ t_{p_W\circ(\varphi\perp\mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha} & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

(c) *For* v *and* w *elements of* V *satisfying* $q(v+w)=1$ *and* $B(v,w)=1$, *we have:*

$$T\circ C_{v,w}=\begin{cases}C_{p_W\circ\varphi(v),p_W\circ\varphi(w)} & \text{if } \varphi(v+w)\in W\\ t_{p_W\circ(\varphi\perp\mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha} & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

(3) *For* f *a morphism of* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_q}(H_0,V)$, *we have:*

$$T\circ D_f=\begin{cases}D_{\varphi\circ f} & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\in W \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\in W\\ A_{\varphi\circ f(a_0),p_W\circ\varphi\circ f(b_0)} & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\in W \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\notin W\\ B_{p_W\circ\varphi\circ f(a_0),\varphi\circ f(b_0)} & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\notin W \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\in W\\ C_{p_W\circ\varphi\circ f(a_0),p_W\circ\varphi\circ f(b_0)} & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\notin W \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\notin W\\ & \text{and } \varphi\circ f(a_0+b_0)\in W\\ t_{p_W\circ(\varphi\perp\mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha} & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\notin W \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\notin W\\ & \text{and } \varphi\circ f(a_0+b_0)\notin W.\end{cases}$$

Proof. By definition of the composition in $\mathcal{T}_q$, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & W \arrow[d, hook] \\
 & V \arrow[r, "\varphi"] \arrow[d, hook] & W\perp L \arrow[d, hook] \\
H_0 \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & V\perp L' \arrow[r, "\varphi\perp \mathrm{Id}"'] & W\perp L\perp L'
\end{tikzcd}$$

(1) For a morphism t_f, we have $\alpha(a_0)=f(a_0)+l$ and $\alpha(b_0)=f(b_0)+m$. where $\{l,m\}$ is a linearly independent family of L'. Consequently:

$$(\varphi\perp \mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha(a_0)=\varphi\circ f(a_0)+l \text{ et } (\varphi\perp \mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha(b_0)=\varphi\circ f(b_0)+m.$$

We deduce that $T\circ t_f=t_{\varphi\circ f}$.

(2) For $A_{v,w}$, we have $\alpha(a_0)=v$ and $\alpha(b_0)=w+l'$, where l' is a non-zero element of L'. Consequently:

$$(\varphi\perp \mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha(a_0)=\varphi(v) \text{ et } (\varphi\perp \mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha(b_0)=\varphi(w)+l'.$$

We have to distinguish two cases:

- if $\varphi(v)\in W$, since φ preserves quadratic forms, we have $q(\varphi(v))=q(v)$ and, since L' is orthogonal to V, $B(\varphi(v),p_W\circ\varphi(w))=B(\varphi(v),\varphi(w))=B(v,w)$. Thus the morphism $A_{\varphi(v),p_W\circ\varphi(w)}$ is defined and we have: $T\circ A_{v,w}=A_{\varphi(v),p_W\circ\varphi(w)}$;
- otherwise, $\varphi(v)=p_W\circ\varphi(v)+m$ where m is a non-zero element of L. Consequently, we obtain a morphism of nul rank and we have: $T\circ A_{v,w}=t_{p_W\circ(\varphi\perp \mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha}$.

The cases $B_{v,w}$ and $C_{v,w}$ are similar to the case of $A_{v,w}$ and are left to the reader.

(3) For the morphism D_f, where f is an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_q}(H_0,V)$, we have $\alpha(a_0)=f(a_0)=v$ and $\alpha(b_0)=f(b_0)=w$ where v and w are elements of V. Consequently: $(\varphi\perp \mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha(a_0)=\varphi(v)$ and $(\varphi\perp \mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha(b_0)=\varphi(w)$. Since $\varphi\circ f$ preserves the quadratic forms, we have: $q(\varphi\circ f(a_0))=q(\varphi\circ f(b_0))=0$ and $B(\varphi\circ f(a_0),\varphi\circ f(b_0))=1$. Thus the morphisms $A_{\varphi\circ f(a_0),\varphi\circ f(b_0)}$, $B_{\varphi\circ f(a_0),\varphi\circ f(b_0)}$ and $C_{\varphi\circ f(a_0),\varphi\circ f(b_0)}$ are defined.

We have to distinguish four cases:

- if $\varphi(v)\in W$ and $\varphi(w)\in W$ then $T\circ D_f=D_{\varphi\circ f}$;
- if $\varphi\circ f(a_0)\in W$ and $\varphi\circ f(b_0)\notin W$, we have $\varphi\circ f(a_0)=w'$ and $\varphi\circ f(b_0)=w''+l$, where l is a non-zero element of L. Consequently, we obtain a morphism of type A and we have $T\circ D_f=A_{\varphi\circ f(a_0),\varphi\circ f(b_0)}$;
- if $\varphi\circ f(a_0)\notin W$ and $\varphi\circ f(b_0)\in W$, we have $\varphi\circ f(a_0)=w'+l$ and $\varphi\circ f(b_0)=w''$, where l is a non-zero element of L. Consequently, we obtain a morphism of type B and we have $T\circ D_f=B_{\varphi\circ f(a_0),\varphi\circ f(b_0)}$;
- if $\varphi\circ f(a_0)\notin W$, $\varphi\circ f(b_0)\notin W$ and $\varphi\circ f(a_0+b_0)\in W$, we have $\varphi\circ f(a_0)=w'+l$ and $\varphi\circ f(b_0)=w''+l$, where l is a non-zero element of L. Consequently, we obtain a morphism of type C and we have $T\circ D_f=C_{\varphi\circ f(a_0),\varphi\circ f(b_0)}$;
- if $\varphi\circ f(a_0)\notin W$, $\varphi\circ f(b_0)\notin W$ and $\varphi\circ f(a_0+b_0)\notin W$, we have $\varphi\circ f(a_0)=w'+l$ and $\varphi\circ f(b_0)=w''+l'$, where l and l' are non-zero

elements of L. Consequently, we obtain a morphism of nul rank and we have $T \circ D_f = t_{p_W \circ (\varphi \perp \mathrm{Id}) \circ \alpha}$.

□

3.1.2. *Splitting of the filtration for the functor P_{H_0}.* In this paragraph, we prove the following result.

Proposition 3.10. *The rank filtration splits for the functor P_{H_0}, namely:*

$$P_{H_0} = P^{(0)}_{H_0} \oplus P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0} \oplus P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.6, we have: $P^{(1)}_{H_0} = P^{(0)}_{H_0} \oplus P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}$. To prove the proposition, it is sufficient to prove that $P_{H_0} = P^{(1)}_{H_0} \oplus P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}$.

By definition of the filtration, we have the short exact sequence:

$$0 \to P^{(1)}_{H_0} \to P_{H_0} \xrightarrow{p} P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0} \to 0. \tag{3.10.1}$$

Let V be an object in $\mathcal{T}_q$, we consider a morphism f of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_q}(H_0, V)$ and the generator $[D_f]$ of $P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}(V)$ associated to f. Since the map f preserves the quadratic forms, we have: $q(f(a_0)) = q(f(b_0)) = 0$, $q(f(a_0 + b_0)) = 1$; thus $B(f(a_0), f(b_0)) = 1$. Consequently, the morphisms $A_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}$, $B_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}$ and $C_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(H_0, V)$ are defined. We define a map $s_V : P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}(V) \to P_{H_0}(V)$ by:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} s_V : & P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}(V) & \longrightarrow & P_{H_0}(V) \\ & [D_f] & \mapsto & [D_f] + [A_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}] + [B_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}] + [C_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}]. \end{array}$$

We verify the two following statements.

(1) $p_V \circ s_V = \mathrm{Id}$.
For $[D_f]$ a canonical generator of $P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}(V)$, we have

$$p_V \circ s_V([D_f]) = [D_f]$$

since the morphisms $A_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}$, $B_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}$ and $C_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}$ have a rank equal to one.

(2) The maps s_V define a natural map.
One verifies that, for a morphism $T = V \xrightarrow{\varphi} W \perp L \xleftarrow{i_W} W$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V, W)$, we have the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}(V) \arrow[r, "s_V"] \arrow[d, "P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}(T)"'] & P_{H_0}(V) \arrow[d, "P_{H_0}(T)"] \\ P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}(W) \arrow[r, "s_W"] & P_{H_0}(W). \end{tikzcd}$$

In order to simplify notation, we will write: $A' = A_{\varphi \circ f(a_0), p_W \circ \varphi \circ f(b_0)}$, $B' = B_{p_W \circ \varphi \circ f(a_0), \varphi \circ f(b_0)}$, $C' = C_{p_W \circ \varphi \circ f(a_0), p_W \circ \varphi \circ f(b_0)}$ and $t' = t_{p_W \circ (\varphi \perp \mathrm{Id}) \circ \alpha}$.

On the one hand, by Lemma 3.9, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{H_0}(T) \circ s_V([D_f]) \\ & = P_{H_0}(T)([D_f] + [A_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}] + [B_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}] + [C_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}]) \\ & = [T \circ D_f] + [T \circ A_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}] + [T \circ B_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}] + [T \circ C_{f(a_0),f(b_0)}] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{llllll} [D_{\varphi\circ f}] & +[A'] & +[B'] & +[C'] & & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\in W \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\in W \\ [A'] & +[A'] & +[t'] & +[t'] & =0 & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\in W \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\notin W \\ [B'] & +[t'] & +[B'] & +[t'] & =0 & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\notin W \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\in W \\ [C'] & +[t'] & +[t'] & +[C'] & =0 & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\notin W \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\notin W \\ & & & & & \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(a_0+b_0)\in W \\ [t'] & +[t'] & +[t'] & +[t'] & =0 & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\notin W \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\notin W \\ & & & & & \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(a_0+b_0)\notin W. \end{array} \right.$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{lllll} [D_{\varphi\circ f}] & +[A'] & +[B'] & +[C'] & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\in W \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\in W \\ 0 & & & & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.9, we have:

$$P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}(T)([D_f]) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [D_{\varphi\circ f}] & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\in W \text{ and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\in W \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

since the morphisms A, B, C and t are zero in the quotient $P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}(W)$. We deduce,

$$s_W \circ P_{H_0}/P^{(1)}_{H_0}(T)([D_f]) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [D_{\varphi\circ f}]+[A']+[B']+[C'] & \text{if } \varphi\circ f(a_0)\in W \\ & \text{and } \varphi\circ f(b_0)\in W \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Consequently, the maps s_V define a natural map which is a section of p. This gives rise to the splitting of the exact sequence 3.10.1. □

3.1.3. *Identification of the direct summands.* The aim of this paragraph is to identify the summands of the decomposition given in Proposition 3.10. We begin by proving that the morphisms of type A (respectively of type B and C) define a subfunctor of $P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}$ which is a direct summand of this functor.

Lemma 3.11. *The functor $P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}$ admits the following decomposition into direct summands:*

$$P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0} = F_A \oplus F_B \oplus F_C$$

where F_A, F_B and F_C are subfunctors of $P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}$ generated by, respectively, the morphisms of type A, B and C.

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, we have an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}(V) = F_A(V) \oplus F_B(V) \oplus F_C(V),$$

for all objects V in $\mathcal{T}_q$.

Consequently, it is sufficient to prove that F_A, F_B and F_C are subfunctors of $P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}$.

For F_A, we have to verify the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} F_A(V) \arrow[r, "i_V"] \arrow[d, "F_A(T)"'] & P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}(V) \arrow[d, "P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}(T)"] \\ F_A(W) \arrow[r, "i_W"] & P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}(W) \end{tikzcd}$$

where T is a morphism of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(V,W)$. Let $[A_{v,w}]$ be a canonical generator of $F_A(V)$, we have by Lemma 3.9

$$T\circ A_{v,w}=\begin{cases} A_{\varphi(v),p_W\circ\varphi(w)} & \text{if } \varphi(v)\in W\\ t_{p_W\circ(\varphi\perp\mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha} & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}(T)\circ i_V([A_{v,w}])=\begin{cases} [A_{\varphi(v),p_W\circ\varphi(w)}] & \text{if } \varphi(v)\in W\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

since the morphism $t_{p_W\circ(\varphi\perp\mathrm{Id})\circ\alpha}$, has nul rank.

We deduce that $P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}(T)\circ i_V([A_{v,w}])$ is in the vector space $F_A(W)$; thus, F_A is a subfunctor of $P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}$.

In the same way, by the use of values of $T\circ B_{v,w}$ and $T\circ C_{v,w}$ given in Lemma 3.9, we prove that the functors F_B and F_C are subfunctors of $P^{(1)}_{H_0}/P^{(0)}_{H_0}$.

□

In the following lemma, we identify the functors F_A, F_B and F_C with certain mixed functors defined in [**?**] and recalled in section 1.

Lemma 3.12. (1) *The functors F_A and F_B are isomorphic to the functor* $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$.

(2) *The functor F_C is isomorphic to the functor* $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$.

Proof. (1) The isomorphism $F_A\simeq \mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$.

Let $[A_{v,w}]$ be a canonical generator of $F_A(V)$, we have, by definition, $B(v,w)=1$. Consequently, the following linear map exists:

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\sigma^1_V: & F_A(V) & \to & \mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}(V)\\ & [A_{v,w}] & \longmapsto & [(w,v+w)].\end{array}$$

The map σ^1_V is an isomorphism, whose inverse is given by

$$\begin{array}{rccc}(\sigma^1_V)^{-1}: & \mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}(V) & \to & F_A(V)\\ & [(v,w)] & \longmapsto & [A_{v+w,v}].\end{array}$$

We have to verify that the maps σ^1_V define a natural map; namely, for a morphism $T=[V\xrightarrow{\varphi}W\perp L\xleftarrow{i_W}W]$, that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd} F_A(V) \arrow[r, "\sigma^1_V"] \arrow[d, "F_A(T)"'] & \mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}(V) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}(T)"] \\ F_A(W) \arrow[r, "\sigma^1_W"] & \mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}(W). \end{tikzcd}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}(T)\circ\sigma^1_V([A_{v,w}]) &= \mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}(T)[(w,v+w)]\\ &=\begin{cases}[(p_W\circ(\varphi(w)),p_W\circ(\varphi(v+w))] & \text{if } \varphi(v)\in W\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

by the definition of the mixed functors, and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^1_W \circ F_A(T)([A_{v,w}]) &= \sigma^1_W \begin{cases} [A_{\varphi(v),p_W\circ\varphi(w)}] & \text{if } \varphi(v)\in W\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}\\ &= \begin{cases} [(p_W\circ(\varphi(w)),\varphi(v)+p_W\circ(\varphi(w))] & \text{if } \varphi(v)\in W\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

When $\varphi(v)\in W$, we have:

$$[(p_W\circ(\varphi(w)),\varphi(v)+p_W\circ(\varphi(w))] = [(p_W\circ(\varphi(w)),p_W\circ(\varphi(v+w))],$$

what proves the naturality of σ^1.

Since the two following cases are very close to the previous one, we only give the definition of the isomorphism of vector spaces and we leave the reader to verify that they define natural equivalences.

(2) The isomorphism $F_B \simeq \mathrm{Mix}_{1,0}$.

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\sigma^2_V: & F_B(V) & \to & \mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}(V)\\ & B_{v,w} & \longmapsto & [(v,v+w)].\end{array}$$

(3) The isomorphism $F_C \simeq \mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$.

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\sigma^3_V: & F_C(V) & \to & \mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}(V)\\ & C_{v,w} & \longmapsto & [(v,w)]\end{array}$$

□

We deduce the following proposition.

Proposition 3.13. *The projective functor P_{H_0} admits the following decomposition into direct summands:*

$$P_{H_0} = \iota(P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(\mathbb{F}_2{}^{\oplus 2})}) \oplus (\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}{}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}) \oplus \kappa(\mathrm{iso}_{H_0})$$

where $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ and $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$ are mixed functors and iso_{H_0} is an isotropic functor.

Proof. This proposition is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 3.10, Theorem 2.6, Proposition 2.19 and Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12. □

3.2. Decomposition of P_{H_1}.

The study of the functor P_{H_1} is analogous to that of the functor P_{H_0} given in the previous section. Consequently, for the functor P_{H_1}, we give only the principal results without proofs.

3.2.1. *Explicit description of the subquotients of the filtration.* In this paragraph, we give basis of the vector spaces $P^{(0)}_{H_1}(V)$, $P^{(1)}_{H_1}/P^{(0)}_{H_1}(V)$ and $P_{H_1}/P^{(1)}_{H_1}(V)$ for V an object in $\mathcal{T}_q$.

Lemma 3.14. *A basis $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}_{H_1}$ of $P^{(0)}_{H_1}(V)$ is given by the set:*

$$\mathcal{B}^{(0)}_{H_1} = \{t_f \text{ for } f\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}^f}(\mathbb{F}_2{}^{\oplus 2},\epsilon(V))\}.$$

Lemma 3.15. *Let $T=[H_1 \xrightarrow{f} V\perp L \xleftarrow{i_V} V]$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{T}_q$ which represents a canonical generator of $P^{(1)}_{H_1}/P^{(0)}_{H_1}(V)$, and $\{a_1,b_1\}$ a symplectic basis of H_1, then the map f in T has one of the three following forms.*

(1) *If $I=(f(a_1),0)$ the map $f: H_1 \to V\perp L$ is defined by:*

$$f(a_1)=v \qquad \text{et} \qquad f(b_1)=w+l$$

for v and w elements of V satisfying $q(v)=1$ and $B(v,w)=1$ and l a non-zero element of L.

(2) *If $I=(f(b_1),0)$ the map $f: H_1 \to V\perp L$ is defined by:*

$$f(a_1)=v+l \qquad \text{et} \qquad f(b_1)=w$$

for v and w elements of V satisfying $q(w)=1$ and $B(v,w)=1$ and l a non-zero element of L.

(3) *If $I=(f(a_1+b_1),1)$ the map $f: H_1 \to V\perp L$ is defined by:*

$$f(a_1)=v+l \qquad \text{et} \qquad f(b_1)=w+l$$

for v and w elements of V satisfying $q(v+w)=1$ and $B(v,w)=1$ and l a non-zero element of L.

Notation 3.16. *The morphisms $[H_1 \xrightarrow{f} V\perp L \xleftarrow{i_V} V]$, where f is one of the morphisms described in the point* (1) *(respectively* (2) *and* (3)*) of the previous lemma will be known as type E (respectively F and G) morphisms.*

The analogous proposition to Proposition 3.4 holds for H_1. This justifies the following notation.

Notation 3.17. *Denote by $E_{v,w}$, $F_{v,w}$ and $G_{v,w}$ the morphisms of* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(H_1,V)$ *respectively of type E, F and G and such that $p_V\circ f(a_1)=v$ and $p_V\circ f(b_1)=w$.*

We deduce the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.18. *A basis $\mathcal{B}^{(1)}_{H_1}$ of $P^{(1)}_{H_1}/P^{(0)}_{H_1}(V)$ is given by the set:*

$$\mathcal{B}^{(1)}_{H_1}=\{ \begin{array}{l} [E_{v,w}] \text{ for } v \text{ and } w \text{ elements of } V \text{ satisfying } q(v)=1 \text{ and } B(v,w)=1, \\ [F_{v,w}] \text{ for } v \text{ and } w \text{ elements of } V \text{ satisfying } q(w)=1 \text{ and } B(v,w)=1, \\ [G_{v,w}] \text{ for } v \text{ and } w \text{ elements of } V \text{ satisfying } q(v+w)=1 \text{ and } B(v,w)=1\} \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.19. *A basis $\mathcal{B}^{(2)}_{H_1}$ of $P_{H_1}/P^{(1)}_{H_1}(V)$ is given by the set:*

$$\mathcal{B}^{(2)}_{H_1}=\{[H_f] \text{ for } f\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}_q}(H_1,V)\},$$

where H_f is the morphism of $\mathcal{T}_q$ represented by the diagram: $H_1 \xrightarrow{f} V \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Id}} V$.

The rules of composition of morphisms $E_{v,w}$, $F_{v,w}$, $G_{v,w}$ and H_f are similar to those given for $A_{v,w}$, $B_{v,w}$, $C_{v,w}$ and D_f in Lemma 3.9. The details can be provided by the reader.

3.2.2. *Splitting of the filtration for the functor P_{H_1}.*

Proposition 3.20. *The rank filtration splits for the functor P_{H_1}, namely:*

$$P_{H_1}=P^{(0)}_{H_1}\oplus P^{(1)}_{H_1}/P^{(0)}_{H_1}\oplus P_{H_1}/P^{(1)}_{H_1}.$$

Proof. One verifies that the map $s_V: P_{H_1}/P^{(1)}_{H_1}(V)\to P_{H_1}(V)$ given by:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} s_V: & P_{H_1}/P^{(1)}_{H_1}(V) & \longrightarrow & P_{H_1}(V) \\ & [H_f] & \mapsto & [H_f]+[E_{f(a_1),f(b_1)}]+[F_{f(a_1),f(b_1)}]+[G_{f(a_1),f(b_1)}]. \end{array}$$

defines a natural map which is a section of the projection $P_{H_1}\to P_{H_1}/P^{(1)}_{H_1}$. □

3.2.3. *Identification of the direct summands.* We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.21. *The functor $P^{(1)}_{H_1}/P^{(0)}_{H_1}$ admits the following decomposition into direct summands*

$$P^{(1)}_{H_1}/P^{(0)}_{H_1} = F_E \oplus F_F \oplus F_G$$

where F_E, F_F and F_G are subfunctors of $P^{(1)}_{H_1}/P^{(0)}_{H_1}$ generated by, respectively, the morphisms of type E, F and G.

In the following lemma, we identify the functors F_E, F_F and F_G with mixed functor.

Lemma 3.22. *The functors F_E, F_F and F_G are equivalent to the functor* $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$.

We deduce the following decomposition.

Proposition 3.23. *The projective functor P_{H_1} admits the following decomposition into direct summands:*

$$P_{H_1} = \iota(P^{\mathcal{F}}_{\epsilon(\mathbb{F}_2{}^{\oplus 2})}) \oplus {\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}}^{\oplus 3} \oplus \kappa(\mathrm{iso}_{H_1})$$

where $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$ *is a mixed functor and iso_{H_1} is an isotropic functor.*

3.3. **Consequences of decompositions of functors P_{H_0} and P_{H_1}.** In this section, we draw the conclusions of the decompositions of P_{H_0} and P_{H_1} given in Propositions 3.13 and 3.23. We deduce the indecomposability of the functors $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ and $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$, we study the projectivity of the first isotropic functors in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ and we give the classification of the "small" simple objects of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.

3.3.1. *Indecomposability of functors* $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ *and* $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$. The aim of this paragraph is to prove the following result:

Proposition 3.24. *The functors* $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ *and* $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$ *are indecomposable.*

The proof of this proposition relies on the following obvious lemma.

Lemma 3.25. *If the functor F of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ decomposes as a direct sum: $F_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus F_n$, then the projections $\pi_i : F \to F_i$ and the inclusions $j_i : F_i \to F$ induce idempotents $e_i = j_i \circ \pi_i$ in the ring* $\mathrm{End}(F)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.24.

(1) By the Yoneda lemma, we have:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(P_{H_0}, \mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}) = \mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}(H_0).$$

By a calculation, we obtain that the dimension of the space $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}(H_0)$ is equal to 4. According to Proposition 3.13, the order of multiplicity of the summand $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ in the decomposition of P_{H_0} is equal to 2. Consequently, the dimension of the vector space $E := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}, \mathrm{Mix}_{0,1})$ is 2. We have the following basis: $\{Id, \tau\}$ where the map τ is given by: $\tau([(u,v)]) = ([(v,u)])$. Consequently, $E = (\{0, Id, \tau, Id+\tau\}, +, \circ)$, as a ring, and it is easy to see that this ring does not admit a non-trivial idempotent.

(2) Similarly, we have $\mathrm{Hom}(P_{H_0}, \mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}) = \mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}(H_0)$ and $\dim(\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}(H_0)) = 2$. The order of multiplicity of the summand $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$ in the decomposition of P_{H_0} is equal to 1. We deduce that the ring $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}, \mathrm{Mix}_{1,1})$ does not admit a non-trivial idempotent.

□

We deduce from this proposition the following result, which complements Theorem 1.9, obtained in [**?**]:

Corollary 3.26. *The short exact sequence* $0 \to \Sigma_{\alpha,1} \to \mathrm{Mix}_{\alpha,1} \to \Sigma_{\alpha,1} \to 0$ *does not split.*

3.3.2. *Projectivity of certain isotropic functors in* $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$. The decompositions given in Propositions 3.13 and 3.23 allow us to study the projectivity of isotropic functors in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$. Corollary 4.37 in [**?**] shows that the set of functors $\{iso_V | V \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a set of projective generators of $\mathcal{F}_{iso}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is a set of representatives of isometry classes of (possibly degenerate) quadratic spaces.

Since the functor $\kappa(iso_{H_0})$ (respectively $\kappa(iso_{H_1})$) is a direct summand of the functor P_{H_0} (respectively P_{H_1}) we have the following result.

Proposition 3.27. *The functors* $\kappa(iso_{H_0})$ *and* $\kappa(iso_{H_1})$ *are projective in the category* $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.

We deduce from Corollary 1.6 and the previous proposition, the following result.

Corollary 3.28. *The category* $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ *contains non-constant finite, projective objects.*

This corollary constitutes one of the new features of the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ compared to $\mathcal{F}$. Recall that, according to Corollary B7 in [**?**], due to Lionel Schwartz, the category $\mathcal{F}$ does not contain non-constant finite projective functors.

Recall that, the functor $\kappa(iso_{(x,0)})$ is the top composition factor of $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ and $\kappa(iso_{(x,1)})$ is that of $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$. We have the following result.

Proposition 3.29. *The projective cover of* $\kappa(iso_{(x,0)})$ *(respectively* $\kappa(iso_{(x,1)})$*) is the functor* $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ *(respectively* $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$*). In particular, the functors* $\kappa(iso_{(x,0)})$ *and* $\kappa(iso_{(x,1)})$ *are not projective in* $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.

Proof. Since $\kappa(iso_{(x,0)})(H_0) \neq \{0\}$ and $\kappa(iso_{(x,1)})(H_0) \neq \{0\}$, if these two functors were projective, they would be direct summands of the functor P_{H_0}. We deduce from Proposition 3.13, that these functors are not projective. □

Remark 3.30. *Propositions 3.27 and 3.29 let us conjecture that, for a nondegenerate* $\mathbb{F}_2$*-quadratic space* H, $\kappa(iso_H)$ *is a projective functor in* $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ *and, for a degenerate quadratic space* D, $\kappa(iso_D)$ *is not a projective functor in* $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ *and its projective cover is a generalized mixed functor. This result will be the subject of future work.*

3.3.3. *Classification of simple objects* S *of* $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ *such that either* $S(H_0) \neq 0$ *or* $S(H_1) \neq 0$. If S is a simple object in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, such that $S(H_0) \neq 0$, the Yoneda lemma implies that $\mathrm{Hom}(P_{H_0}, S) = S(H_0) \neq 0$. Consequently, there exists a morphism of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ from P_{H_0} to S which is an epimorphism, by simplicity of S. We deduce from the decompositions given in Proposition 3.13 and 3.23, from Corollary 1.7 concerning the functors iso_{H_0} and iso_{H_1} and from the study of the functors $\mathrm{Mix}_{0,1}$ and $\mathrm{Mix}_{1,1}$ done in [**?**] and recalled in section 1, the following result.

Proposition 3.31. *The isomorphism classes of non-constant simple functors of* $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ *such that either* $S(H_0) \neq 0$ *or* $S(H_1) \neq 0$ *are:*

$$\iota(\Lambda^1),\ \iota(\Lambda^2),\ \iota(S_{(2,1)}),\ \kappa(iso_{(x,0)}),\ \kappa(iso_{(x,1)}),\ R_{H_0},\ R_{H_1},\ S_{H_1}$$

where R_{H_0}, R_{H_1} *and* S_{H_1} *are the simple functors introduced in Corollary 1.7.*

3.3.4. *Extension groups in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.* By Theorem 1.4 and 1.5 we obtain an exact, fully-faithful functor $\prod_{V\in\mathcal{S}}\mathbb{F}_2[O(V)]-mod \xrightarrow{\tilde{\kappa}} \mathcal{F}_{quad}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is a set of representatives of isometry classes of quadratic spaces (possibly degenerate). Consequently, for M and N two $\mathbb{F}_2[O(V)]-$ modules, this functor induces a morphism of extension groups:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathbb{F}_2[O(V)]-mod}(M,N) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\kappa})_*} \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(\tilde{\kappa}(M),\tilde{\kappa}(N)).$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.32. *For $V\in\{H_0,H_1\}$, the morphism $(\tilde{\kappa})_*$ is an isomorphism.*

The proof of this proposition relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 3.33. *For $V\in\{H_0,H_1\}$, if P is a finite projective $\mathbb{F}_2[O(V)]$-module, $\tilde{\kappa}(P)$ is projective in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.*

Proof. If P is a finite projective $\mathbb{F}_2[O(V)]$-module, there exists a $\mathbb{F}_2[O(V)]$-module Q such that $P\oplus Q\simeq \mathbb{F}_2[O(V)]^{\oplus N}$. We deduce from the exactness of κ that $\tilde{\kappa}(P\oplus Q)\simeq\tilde{\kappa}(P)\oplus\tilde{\kappa}(Q)$. Since $\tilde{\kappa}(\mathbb{F}_2[O(V)])=\kappa(iso_V)$ and the functors $\kappa(iso_{H_0})$ and $\kappa(iso_{H_1})$ are projective, by Proposition 3.27, we obtain that $\tilde{\kappa}(P)$ is projective. □

Proof of Proposition 3.32. Let M and N be $\mathbb{F}_2[O(V)]$-modules for $V\in\{H_0,H_1\}$ and $P_\bullet\to M$ be a projective resolution of M. Lemma 3.33 implies that $\tilde{\kappa}(P_\bullet)$ is a projective resolution of $\tilde{\kappa}(M)$. The functor $\tilde{\kappa}$ induces a morphism of cochain complexes

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_2[O(V)]-mod}(P_\bullet,N)\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(\tilde{\kappa}(P_\bullet),\tilde{\kappa}(N))$$

which induces the morphism $(\tilde{\kappa})_*$ in cohomology. Since the functor $\tilde{\kappa}$ is fully-faithful the previous morphism is an isomorphism and so induces an isomorphism in cohomology.

□

We deduce the following corollary:

Corollary 3.34. *For n a natural number, we have:*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(R_{H_0},R_{H_0})\simeq\mathbb{F}_2 \quad\text{and}\quad \mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(R_{H_1},R_{H_1})\simeq\mathbb{F}_2$$

where R_{H_0} and R_{H_1} are the simple functors introduced in Corollary 1.7.

Proof. Let ϵ be an element in $\{0,1\}$. For $V=H_\epsilon$, by Corollary 1.7 (1), we have $\tilde{\kappa}(\mathbb{F}_2)=R_{H_\epsilon}$. So, applying Proposition 3.32 to $M=N=\mathbb{F}_2$ we obtain:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathcal{F}_{quad}}(R_{H_\epsilon},R_{H_\epsilon})\simeq\mathrm{Ext}^*_{\mathbb{F}_2[O(H_\epsilon)]-mod}(\mathbb{F}_2,\mathbb{F}_2)=H^*(O(H_\epsilon),\mathbb{F}_2).$$

Since $O(H_0)\simeq\mathfrak{S}_2\simeq C_2$ and $O(H_1)\simeq\mathfrak{S}_3\simeq GL_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ we know by classical results of cohomology of groups that

$$H^n(O(H_0),\mathbb{F}_2)=H^n(O(H_1),\mathbb{F}_2)=\mathbb{F}_2.$$

□

Remark 3.35. *This corollary exhibits an important difference between the categories $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$; recall that in $\mathcal{F}$ $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{F}}(S,S)=0$ for all simple objects S of $\mathcal{F}$ (see* [**?**]*).*

4. APPLICATION: THE POLYNOMIAL FUNCTORS OF $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$

In this section, having generalized the notion of polynomial functor to the category $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, we prove, by induction, that the polynomial functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ are in the image of the functor $\iota : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$.

4.1. **Definition of polynomial functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.**

4.1.1. *The difference functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.* We define the difference functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ which generalize the notion of difference functor of $\mathcal{F}$. Recall that, according to [**?**], the difference functor $\Delta : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ is the functor given by

$$\Delta F(V) := \mathrm{Ker}(F(V \oplus \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{F(p)} F(V)),$$

for F an object in $\mathcal{F}$, V an object in $\mathcal{E}^f$ and $p : V \oplus \mathbb{F}_2 \to V$ the projection.

Definition 4.1. *The difference functors $\Delta_{H_0} : \mathcal{F}_{quad} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$ and $\Delta_{H_1} : \mathcal{F}_{quad} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$ are the functors defined by:*

$$\Delta_{H_0} F(V) := \mathrm{Ker}(F(V \perp H_0) \xrightarrow{F(T_0)} F(V)),$$

$$\Delta_{H_1} F(V) := \mathrm{Ker}(F(V \perp H_1) \xrightarrow{F(T_1)} F(V)),$$

for F an object in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, V an object in $\mathcal{T}_q$, and $T_i = [V \perp H_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}} V \perp H_i \xleftarrow{i_V} V]$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$.

We have the following result:

Lemma 4.2. *The functors Δ_{H_0} and Δ_{H_1} are exact.*

4.1.2. *Definition of polynomial functors.* Before giving the definition of polynomial functors in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, let us recall that of polynomial functors of $\mathcal{F}$ ([**?**]). For an object F of $\mathcal{F}$, F is a polynomial functor of degree 0 if and only if $\Delta F = 0$ and, for an integer d, F is polynomial of degree at most $d+1$ if and only if ΔF is polynomial of degree at most d.

Definition 4.3. *Let F be an object in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$:*

1. *the functor F is polynomial of degree 0 if and only if $\Delta_{H_0} F = \Delta_{H_1} F = 0$;*
2. *for an integer d, the functor F is polynomial of degree at most $d+1$, if and only if $\Delta_{H_0} F$ and $\Delta_{H_1} F$ are polynomial of degree at most d.*

The following proposition allows us to simplify the definition of a polynomial functor of degree 0.

Lemma 4.4. *Let F be an object in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$. The functor $\Delta_{H_0} F$ is zero if and only if the functor $\Delta_{H_1} F$ is zero.*

Proof. If $\Delta_{H_0} F = 0$, we have, for all objects V of $\mathcal{T}_q$, $F(V) \simeq F(V \perp H_0)$. Consequently,

$$F(V) \simeq F(V \perp H_0) \simeq F(V \perp H_0 \perp H_0) \simeq F(V \perp H_1 \perp H_1),$$

where the last isomorphism is obtained from the isomorphism $H_0 \perp H_0 \simeq H_1 \perp H_1$, recalled in section 1. We deduce from the existence of morphisms $F(V) \hookrightarrow F(V \perp H_1)$ and $F(V \perp H_1) \hookrightarrow F(V \perp H_1 \perp H_1)$, induced by the inclusions and from the isomorphism between $F(V)$ and $F(V \perp H_1 \perp H_1)$, that

$$F(V) \simeq F(V \perp H_1) \simeq F(V \perp H_1 \perp H_1).$$

Therefore $\Delta_{H_1} F = 0$.

The proof of the converse is similar. ☐

4.2. **Study of polynomial functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.** The aim of this section is to prove the following result:

Theorem 4.5. *The polynomial functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ are in the image of the functor $\iota : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$.*

We will prove this theorem by induction over the degree of the polynomial functors.

4.2.1. *Polynomial functors of degree zero of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$.* In this paragraph, we start the induction. The proof of the following result relies, in an essential way, on the classification of simple functors S of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ such that $S(H_0) \neq 0$ or $S(H_1) \neq 0$, obtained in Proposition 3.31.

Lemma 4.6. *Let S be a simple functor of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$, S is a polynomial functor of degree zero if and only if S is the constant functor $\mathbb{F}_2$.*

Proof. In order to prove the direct implication, we have to distinguish two cases.

1. If $S(H_0) = S(H_1) = 0$.

   By the classification of the nondegenerate quadratic spaces over $\mathbb{F}_2$, if W is a space of minimal dimension, satisfying $S(W) \neq 0$, we have the existence of an element ϵ of $\{0, 1\}$ and a nondegenerate quadratic space V which is non-zero, such that: $W \simeq H_\epsilon \perp V$. Since W is of minimal dimension, we have $S(V) = 0$. This implies:

   $$\Delta_{H_\epsilon} S(V) = S(H_\epsilon \perp V) \neq 0.$$

   We deduce the result in this case.
2. If $S(H_0) \neq 0$ or $S(H_1) \neq 0$.

   In this case, we use the classification of simple functors S of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ such that $S(H_0) \neq 0$ or $S(H_1) \neq 0$ obtained in Proposition 3.31. By an explicit calculation for all the functors S obtained in this classification, we obtain that the functors $\Delta_{H_0} S$ are non-zero except for the constant functor $S = \mathbb{F}_2$.

The converse is trivial.

☐

4.2.2. *Proof of Theorem 4.5.* To prove Theorem 4.5, we need the following result where the idempotents $[e_V]$, obtained in Proposition 2.13, play a crucial rôle.

Proposition 4.7. *Let S be a non-trivial simple functor of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ which is not in the image of the functor $\iota : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$, then, one of the functors $\Delta_{H_0} S$ or $\Delta_{H_1} S$ is not in the image of the functor $\iota : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_{quad}$.*

Proof. Let W be a nondegenerate quadratic space of minimal dimension, such that $S(W) \neq 0$. We distinguish the two following cases.

1. If $\dim(W) = 2$.

   By an explicit calculation for all the functors S of the classification given in Proposition 3.31, we obtain the result.

(2) If $\dim(W) > 2$.

There exists a nondegenerate quadratic space V, possibly trivial, and an element ϵ of $\{0,1\}$, such that: $W \simeq H_0 \perp H_\epsilon \perp V$. Suppose that $\Delta_{H_0} S$ and $\Delta_{H_1} S$ are in the image of the functor ι, we prove, below, that this implies that S is in the image of ι. By Lemma 2.18 it is sufficient to show the existence of an object W in $\mathcal{T}_q$ such that: $S(e_W)S(W) \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.15, we have: $e_W = e_{H_0} \perp e_{H_\epsilon} \perp e_V$.

Since W is assumed to be a space of minimal dimension such that $S(W) \neq 0$, we have $S(H_0 \perp V) = S(H_\epsilon \perp V) = 0$. This implies that

$$\Delta_{H_0} S(H_\epsilon \perp V) \simeq S(W) \tag{4.7.1}$$

$$\Delta_{H_\epsilon} S(H_0 \perp V) \simeq S(W). \tag{4.7.2}$$

These isomorphisms are natural and, for (4.7.1), the action of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(H_\epsilon \perp V)$ on $\Delta_{H_0} S(H_\epsilon \perp V)$ corresponds to the restriction of the action of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(W)$ on $S(W)$. In the same way, for (4.7.2), the action of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(H_0 \perp V)$ on $\Delta_{H_\epsilon} S(H_0 \perp V)$ corresponds to the restriction of the action of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{T}_q}(W)$ on $S(W)$. Suppose that $\Delta_{H_0} S$ and $\Delta_{H_1} S$ are in the image of ι. We deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} S(1_{H_0} \perp e_{H_\epsilon} \perp e_V)S(W) &= \Delta_{H_0} S(e_{H_\epsilon} \perp e_V)\Delta_{H_0} S(H_\epsilon \perp V) \\ &= \Delta_{H_0} S(H_\epsilon \perp V) = S(W) \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality comes from the action described previously, the second is a consequence of Lemma 2.15 and the third is given by 4.7.1. In the same way, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} S(e_{H_0} \perp 1_{H_\epsilon} \perp e_V)S(W) &= \Delta_{H_\epsilon} S(e_{H_0} \perp e_V)\Delta_{H_\epsilon} S(H_0 \perp V) \\ &= \Delta_{H_\epsilon} S(H_0 \perp V) = S(W). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that: $S(1_{H_0} \perp e_{H_\epsilon} \perp e_V) \circ S(e_{H_0} \perp 1_{H_\epsilon} \perp e_V)S(W) = S(W)$. Since $S(1_{H_0} \perp e_{H_\epsilon} \perp e_V) \circ S(e_{H_0} \perp 1_{H_\epsilon} \perp e_V) = S(e_W)$ by Lemma 2.15, we have: $S(e_W)S(W) \neq 0$, as required.

□

The proof of the theorem relies, also, on the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.8. (1) *A functor F of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ which takes values in finite vector spaces and such that $\Delta_{H_\epsilon} F$ is finite, is finite.*

(2) *A polynomial functor F of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ which takes values in finite vector spaces is finite.*

Proof. (1) The functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{quad} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}_{quad} \\ F & \mapsto & (F(0), \Delta_{H_\epsilon} F) \end{array}$$

is exact and faithful by Lemma 4.2. Hence, if $\gamma(F)$ is finite then F is finite.

(2) If F takes values in finite vector spaces, so does $\Delta_{H_\epsilon} F$. Consequently we can apply the first point recursively to obtain the result.

□

Lemma 4.9. *A finite object F of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ whose composition factors are in the image of the functor ι is in the image of the functor ι.*

Proof. This result is a straightforward consequence of the thickness of the subcategory $\iota(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ given in Theorem 2.16. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Since a functor of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ is colimit of its subfunctors which take values in finite vector spaces, we deduce from Lemma 4.8, that it is sufficient to prove the result for the finite polynomial functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$. Furthermore, since the functors Δ_{H_0} and Δ_{H_1} are exact by Lemma 4.2, it is sufficient to consider the case of a simple functor S. We prove the theorem by induction over the polynomial degree.

If S is polynomial of degree 0, according to Lemma 4.2, S is in the image of the functor ι.

Suppose that all simple polynomial functors of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ and of degree d are in the image of the functor ι and consider a simple polynomial functor S of $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ such that $\deg(S) = d + 1$. By the definition of polynomial functor in $\mathcal{F}_{quad}$ given in 4.3, the functors $\Delta_{H_0}S$ and $\Delta_{H_1}S$ are polynomial of degree d. We deduce that all composition factors of $\Delta_{H_0}S$ and $\Delta_{H_1}S$ are polynomial of degree smaller than or equal to d and, by induction, we obtain that they are in the image of ι. Since S is a simple functor, it is a quotient of a standard projective functor P_V. Consequently S takes its values in finite dimensional vector spaces. Therefore, $\Delta_{H_0}S$ and $\Delta_{H_1}S$ take their values in finite dimensional vector spaces. We deduce from Lemma 4.8 that the functors $\Delta_{H_0}S$ and $\Delta_{H_1}S$ are finite, and, by Lemma 4.9, we obtain that $\Delta_{H_0}S$ and $\Delta_{H_1}S$ are in the image of the functor ι. Consequently, by Proposition 4.7, S is in the image of the functor ι. $\square$

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Institut de Géométrie, Algèbre et Topologie, Lausanne, Switzerland.

E-mail address: `christine.vespa@epfl.ch`